

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/771,759	<b>Applicant(s)</b> GOTTLIEB, NATHAN	
	<b>Examiner</b> FREDRICK C. CONLEY	<b>Art Unit</b> 3673	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

 (1) FREDRICK C. CONLEY.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) DARLENE P CONDRA.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 6 March 2006
**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

17

Prior art documents discussed:

NA

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**
*The Examiner rearranged the last two clauses in the claim in order to avoid any lack of antecedent basis for the first and second end caps*
**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)